	Case 3:05-cv-05275-RBL Docume	ent 4 Filed 06/08/05 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
11	AT TACOMA	
12	WEI TANG CHEN,	a aa
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. C05-5275RBL
14	V.	REPORT AND
15	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	RECOMMENDATION NOTED FOR:
16	Defendants,	JULY 8 th , 2005
17		
18	This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge	
19	pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and	
20	WIJK 4. Flamini has paid the fining fee and is not proceeding in forma pauperts. (Dkt. May 15, 2003 entry	
21	reciept # 513258).	
2223	Plaintiff challenges his current criminal conviction in this action. (Dkt. # 1 proposed complaint and	
23	memorandum in support). When a person confined by the state is challenging the very fact or duration of	
25	his physical imprisonment, and the rener he seeks will determine that he is or was entitled to infinediate	
26	release of a speedier release from that imprisonment, his sole federal remedy is a writ of habeas corpus.	
27	<u>Preiser V. Rodriguez</u> , 411 U.S. 473, 300 (1973). <u>Heck V. Humphrey</u> , 114 S.Ct. 2304, 2373 (1994).	
28	Plaintiff is filing a collateral challenge to the legality of his continued confinement in this action and this action	
	must proceed by was of habeas corpus.	
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION Page - 1	

CONCLUSION

This action relates to the propriety of current confinement. For the reasons stated above the action should be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** prior to service. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report to file written objections. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. <u>Thomas</u> v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **July 8**th, **2005**, as noted in the caption.

DATED this 8th, day of June, 2005.

/S/ J. Kelley Arnold
J. Kelley Arnold
United States Magistrate Judge